

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Vol. 12/ Issue: 29/ Autumn & Winter 2022-2023/PP. 49-53

A Comparison of the Theory of the Incompatibility of the Mind and Objective Status with the Premise of Representation and its Effects

Mohammad Danesh Nahad¹, Mohammad Hassan Vakili²

Submitted: 2021/11/24 Accepted: 2022/4/10



Mind and outside, Essential Unity, Ghost, Physical Resurrection, Revelation. Abstract: The mismatch between the mind and the outside is one of the challenges that many scholars do not accept, and most of them may agree that what one perceives is true. Conversely, if the theory of mind-object mismatch is put forward, it may be equated with a ghost statement, while this theory can be analyzed differently in such a way that neither a ghost statement is necessary nor a sophistry arises. In this study, which is based on the library method of collecting information, analyzing, and comparing opinions, the conformity between object and mind is rejected because there is no reason for conformity, but there are narrative and empirical (intuitive) arguments for non-conformity in connection with the inconsistency arising in the following discussion on the promise to respond to the ghost. One of the outcomes of this theory is that it solves the problems existing in revelation, dream interpretation, and the embodiment of bodily deeds and resurrection, because in these cases, different interpretations of a truth have been expressed, which if the mind and the object are compatible with each other they would be contradictory and unsolvable, but when the conformity between mind and object is removed, different interpretations of a truth could be adduced by.

DOI: 10.30470/phm.2022.539690.2078

Homepage: phm.znu.ac.ir

1. PhD in Theology, Jurisprudence and Fundamentals of Islamic Law, Department of Theology, Faculty of Humanities, Yasuj University, Yasuj, Iran (**Corresponding author**), *M_borosdar@yahoo.com*.

2. Professor of Theology and Wisdom, Department of Theology and Wisdom, Institute of Strategic Studies of Islamic Sciences and Education, Mashhad, Mashhad, Ira..*Mohammadhasanvakili@gmail.com*.



Introduction Explaining the relationship between mind and object is one of the topics that is studied in both epistemology and ontology. (Tabatabai, 1364, pp. 1-165; Fayyazi, 1395, p. 41). One of the divisions of science is its division into acquired science and face science. Below the discussion of acquired science, one of the basic questions is an inquiry into the relationship between the mind and the outside. What is well-known among the scholars in epistemological discussions is they have that assumed something called cognition and science, for the realization of which, a conformity must be made between the mind and the object, that is, an essential union must be established between the external existence of the object and its mental existence. Other nature of external existence and mental existence corresponds to each other (Aboudit, 1392, 2, pp. 52-55).

The first place in transcendent wisdom is the distance

between sensory perception and reality under the theory of the union of the senses and the senses, that is, after Mulla Sadra equates the sensible with the rational, he also equates the senses with the senses (Sadra, 1981, 9/9, P. 58-65) with this union, a gap occurs between and sense reality, while previously it was said that sensory perception is a direct connection to the text, and imaginary perception is related to the time when it is cut off, as the peripatetic scholars said (Ibn Sina, 2016, pp. 215-217); Tusi, 1394, 2, pp. 415-420; Firoozjaei, 1396, p. 63) While in Mulla Sadra's system, sensory and imaginary perception is beyond the realities and walks on the horizon of the human soul, that is the soul is connected, the one that a person sees is not reality but the origin of the soul.

Methodology: This research is based on the method of library data collection, analysis and comparison of ideas.

Findings The necessity of matching between the mind and the object is one of the deposits of the originality of the essence, which by adopting the basis of the originality of existence, such a necessity also disappears and instead of being necessary between the mind and the same essence union, the object and the mind are each considered as a part. In the next step, instead of uniting the two truths, the relationship between the two is discussed.

Discussion and Conclusion:

The theory of inconsistency between mind and object, or the relationship between mind and object as two truths of the universe, cannot be akin to the promise to the ghost as it seems, because the promise to the ghost arose in a space where the compatibility of the worlds has not been discussed. And in an atmosphere of originality, the essence has sought to claim that the mind does not have the same essential union, although the

essential unity is rationally possible; according to the theory of the mismatch between the mind and the object, it is based on the essence of existence, the mind, and the object.

References:

The Holy Quran

- Ashtiani, Seyyed Jalaluddin (2002) Description on the birth of travelers, third edition, Qom: Islamic Propaganda Office.
- Amadi, Saif al-Din (1423 AH), Abkar Al-Afkar Fi Osul al-Din, first edition, Cairo: Dar Al-Kitab Publications.
- Ibn Babawiyyah, Muhammad ibn Ali (1406 AH), The Reward of Deeds and the Punishment of Deeds, Volume 1, Second Edition, Qom: Dar Al-Sharif Al-Razi for publication.
- Ibn Sina, Abu Ali (1404 AH), Healing (theology), corrected by Saeed Zayed. First Edition, Qom: Ayatollah Al-Marashi School Publications.
- _____ (2015), The soul from the book of healing, researched by Hassan



Hassanzadeh Amoli, first edition, Qom: Bustan Ketab Publications.

- Aristotle, (1953), in the soul, research of Abd al-Rahman Badawi, first edition, Beirut: Dar al-Qalam Publications.
- Esfarayeni Al-Nishabouri, Fakhruddin (1383SH), Sharh Kitab al-Najat Laban Sina (Qasm al-Al-Hayat), first edition, Tehran: Association of Cultural Works and Honors.
- Davani, Jalal al-Din (.N. D), "The margins of the researcher Al-Duwani on the margins of Al-Sharif Al-Jorjani Ali Sharh Al-Qutb" in Al-Shamsiyah commentary (collection of margins and comments), Bija: Al-Shams Al-Sharq Company for cultural services.
- Razi, Fakhr al-Din (1411 AH), Oriental Studies in the Science of Theology and Nature, Volume 1, Second Edition, Qom: Bidar Publications.
- Har Ameli, Muhammad ibn Hassan (1409 AH), Tafsil Wasa'il al-Shi'ah to study the issues of Sharia, Volume 11,

first edition, Qom: Al-Bayt Foundation, peace be upon them.

- Sabzevari, Hadi (1379 SH), Explanation of the system, research of Hassan Hassanzadeh Amoli, Volume 2, first edition, Tehran: Nab Publications.
- Sadruddin Shirazi, Mohammad Ibn Ibrahim (1340 SH), Treatise on Three Principles, edited by Seyyed Hossein Nasr, first edition, Tehran: Tehran University of Rational and Movable Sciences.
 - (1383 SH), Explanation of Sufficient Principles, Volume 1, First Edition, Tehran: Institute of Cultural Studies and Research.
 - (1360 SH), Evidence of
 Godliness in Behavioral
 Methods, Second Edition,
 Research by Sayyid Jalal alDin Ashtiani, Mashhad:
 Jamei Center for Publication.

(1354 SH), The Beginning and the Resurrection, Seyyed

52

Jalaluddin Ashtiani, First Edition, Tehran: Iranian Society of Wisdom and Philosophy.

- Tabatabai, Mohammad Hussein (1417 AH), Scientific and objective monotheism, first edition, Mashhad: Allameh Tabatabai Publications.
- _____ (.N. D), Al-Hikma Al-Muta'aliyah (with the margin of Allameh Tabatabai), Volume 8, First Edition, Qom: Al-Mustafawi Library Publications.
- _____ (1394 SH), The End of Wisdom, Comments by Gholamreza Fayyazi, Volume 4, Eighth Edition, Qom: Imam Khomeini Publications.
- Tusi, Nasir al-Din (1407 AH), Abstraction of Belief, first edition, Qom: Islamic Propaganda Office.
- Allama Hali, Hassan Ibn Yusuf (1440 AH), Kashf al-Murad fi Sharh Tajrid al-A'tiqad, 18th edition, Qom: Islamic Publishing Institute.
- Klini, Mohammad Ibn Ya'qub (1407 AH), Al-Kafi,

Volume 2, Fourth Edition, Tehran: Dar Al-Kitab Al-Islamiyya Publications.