

A Study of the Linguistic Model of Islamic Theology

*Somayyeh Ajalli*¹, *Mohsen Jahed*², *Sahar Kavandi*³

Submitted:

2021/10/23

Accepted:

2022/2/14

Keywords:

Khabari
Attribute,
Rational
Theology,
Traditional
Linguistic
Model,
Modern
Linguistic
Model.

Abstract: Despite the serious attention of Western philosophers to the language of religion that occurred after the linguistic turn, independent research has not been formed around the linguistic model of Islamic rational theology in Islamic scholarship. However, the linguistic model used by theologians is a determining factor in explaining, proving and extracting theological issues and problems. In fact, the imaginary and affirmative bases of the theologians about language, meaning, truth, certainty, etc. determine the type of their encounter with the text. The main issue of the present study is dedicated to this issue. In a general division, linguistic models are divided into traditional and modern categories. According to the historical evidence in Islamic theology, the linguistic model of Islamic theology is more in line with the traditional linguistic model. The traditional linguistic model, despite its comparative advantage in the history of theology over a period, is unable to explain many theological issues.

DOI: [10.30470/phm.2022.525329.1964](https://doi.org/10.30470/phm.2022.525329.1964)

Homepage: phm.znu.ac.ir

1. PHD Of Islamic Philosophy and Theology, University of Zanjan. Zanjan, Iran., s.ajalli1985@gmail.com

2. Associate Professor, Shahid Beheshti University(**corresponding author**), m_jahed@sbu.ac.ir.

3. Associate Professor of Philosophy Department, University of Zanjan. Zanjan, Iran. drskavandi@znu.ac.ir.

Introduction: The present study seeks to explain the linguistic model on which traditional Islamic theology is based. That is, what has caused traditional theologians to follow a certain path in their arguments, to use certain terms, or even to act on them in order to arrange the content and create different chapters. In fact, the linguistic model used by theologians of Islamic theology is the conceptual and affirmative foundation on the basis of which they understand and interpret theological verses or formulate rational arguments.

Methodology: The Linguistic Model of Traditional Islamic Theology, one of the two main approaches in linguistics, which are the traditional linguistic model or the modern linguistic models, should be accepted as a method. The main question is which model is formed in the confrontation between these two rival models of traditional Islamic theology?

Findings: Our hypothesis is that the model of traditional Islamic theological linguistics is based on the model of traditional linguistics. We made this assumption based on the history of the formation of traditional Islamic theology and the type of view that existed in that period on the language, thought and the relationship between the two. The components of the traditional linguistic model are: 1) verbal atomism, 2) the placement of meaning in single words, the singularity of intrinsic and true meaning, 3) the instrumental role of language for thinking, 4) the advantage of clarity, and 5) the virtue of certainty.

Discussion and Conclusion: In proving the hypothesis, the category of historical reason and evidence in the texts of Islamic theology has been cited. Historical reason: The occurrence of the translation movement and the arrival of

Greek logical and philosophical works that had their own linguistic model.

Evidence in the text: 1) Bringing logic to the beginning of theological books 2) Using philosophical rules and regulations based on traditional linguistic model in explaining theological concepts and topics 3) Relying on clarity in understanding the verses, especially in the field of similar verses 5) Relying on verbal atomism and understanding the meaning of the text 6) The use of logical analogies in reasoning, especially the exceptional deconstruction analogy which shows that rationality in Islamic theology is equal to the Aristotelian method of logic.

In fact, in the period when these books were written, the dominant language model was the traditional language model, and new linguistic theories were introduced after the nineteenth century. Accordingly, the available evidence is in fact comparable

to pre-existing puzzle pieces that complement the image.

References:

- *The Holy Quran*
- Al-Ghazali, Abu Hamid Muhammad, *The Criterion of Misguidance* (Al-Ghazali Confessions), translated by Zayn al-Din Kiainejad, Tehranf Atai, 1349.
- Alusi Baghdadi, Mahmoud, *Ruh al-Ma'ani*, edited by Ali Abd al-Bari Atiyah, Beirut, Dar al-Kitab al-Almiyeh, 1415 AH.
- Aristotle, Organon, Metaphysics, translated by Sharafuddin Khorasani, Tehran, Hekmat, 1987.
- Barthes, Ronald, *writing degree zero and elements of semiology*. Jonathan cape, 1967.
- Coplestone, Frederick, *History of Philosophy* (Greece and Rome), translated by Seyyed Jalaluddin Mojtaba, Tehran, Soroush, 1996.
- Culler.J, Saussur, Fontana Modern master, 1976.
- Descart, Rener, Principle of philosophy, translated with explanatory notes, by Valentine Rodger Miller and Reese p, Miller, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1982.
- Godamer, Hans Georg Truth and Method translation revised by Joel Wensheimer and Donald G Morshall. New York, Contnum, Revrised edition, 1994
- Haddad Adel, Gholam Ali, Encyclopedia of the Islamic

- World, Tehran, Islamic Encyclopedia Foundation, 1996, Volume 21 (Linguistic Entry), First Edition, 2016.
- Hali, Hassan Ibn Yusuf, *Anwar al-Malakut fi Sharh al-Yaqut*, research: Mohammad Najafi, Qom, Bidar, N.D.
 - Harland, Richard, *Superstructuralism* (Philosophy of Constructivism and Poststructuralism, translated by Farzan Sojudi, Tehran, Surah Mehr, 2009).
 - Hartnak, Justus, *Wittgenstein*, translated by Manouchehr Barzegmehr, Tehran, Kharazmi, 1977.
 - Lahiji, Abdul Razzaq, *Shawarq al-Ilham in the explanation of the abstraction of speech*, first edition, Qom, Imam Sadegh Institute, 1425 AH.
 - Laiken, William J., *Introduction to the Philosophy of Language*, translated by Meysam Amini, Tehran, Hermes Publications, third edition, 2016.
 - Mackey, *Applied linguistics*, its meaning and use, 1966.
 - Maggie, Brian, *Men of Thought*, translated by Ezatullah Fooladvand, Tehran, New Design, 1999
 - Mahler, John C. et al., Gadamo's Philosophical Hermeneutics, translated by Mohammad Reza Jabraili et al., *Ishraq*, pp. 96-99, No. 1, 2004.
 - Malcolm, Norman, *Wittgenstein Tractatus, An Introduction*, Oxford, Blackwell, 1993
 - Muzaffar, Mohammad Reza, *New Curriculum in the Studies of Logic*, Hajar Publishing, 2004.
 - Qaisari, Davood, *Sharh Khasi Al-Hakam*, by Seyed Jalal Ashtiani, Tehran, Scientific and Cultural Publications, 1996.
 - Ricoeur, Paul, *Metaphor and Creation of New Meanings in Language*, translated by Hossein Naghavi, *Journal of Knowledge*, Shawl 16, No. 120, 2007.
 - Sarkhsi, Mohammad Ibn Ahmad, *Usul al-Sarkhsi*, Beirut, Dar al-Ma'rifah, 1951 AH.
 - Saussure, Ferdinando, *General Linguistics Course*, translated by Cyrus Safavid, Hermes Publications, 2013.
 - Shahrestani, Mohammad Ibn Abdul Karim, *Al-Mulla wa Al-Nahl*, Qom, Al-Sharif Al-Radhi, third edition, 1985.
 - Sobhani, Jafar, *The Image of the Elders* (seventy-three ideological, social, historical and biographical articles, Qom, Imam Sadegh Institute, first edition, 2000.
 - Sobhani, Mohammad Taghi, *Critical Congress on Philosophical Epistemology*, Research Institute of Philosophy and Theology, 1397
 - Steve, Dan R., *Philosophy of Religious Language*, translated by Abolfazl Sajedi, Qom, Religions Publishing, 2014.
 - Tabarsi, Abu Ali, *Fadl Ibn Hassan Majma 'al-Bayan fi Tafsir al-Quran*, Tehran, Farahani Publications, 1981.

-
- Tabatabai, Seyyed Mohammad Hussein, *Tafsir Al-Mizan*, Beirut, Al-Ali Al-Mutabawat Institute, third edition, 1973.
 - Tusi, Abu Ja'far Muhammad Ibn Al-Hassan, *Al-Tibyan in Tafsir Al-Quran*, Beirut, Darahiyah Al-Tarath Al-Arabi, N.D.
 - Tusi, Khajeh Nasir al-Din, researched by Hosseini Khalali, *Abstraction of Belief*, Islamic Propaganda Office, first edition, 1407 AH.
 - Velayati, Ali Akbar, *Islamic Culture and Civilization*, Tehran, Maaref Publishing, 2017, 75th edition.
 - Yadegari, Maliheh, Ahmadi Afarmajani, Ali Akbar, *Description of Stephen Tolmin's Criticisms of Classical Logic Taken from Late Opinions*, Rational Education, No. 2, Spring and Summer 2015.